

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

58.

OA 974/2018

Ex Nk Khajan Singh Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents
For Applicant : Mr. U S Maurya, Advocate
For Respondents : Ms. Barkha Babbar, Advocate with
Ms. Deepanjali Tyagi, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

O R D E R
04.07.2024

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, as per the respondents' own contention if the applicant has not become a member of ECHS scheme, the FMA facility should be restored. According to the respondents it is respondent No.5 which has to restore the FMA. However, now after the introduction of the SPARSH, it is for respondent No.3 to indicate to us as to what action has been taken for restoration of FMA. Two weeks' time is granted for the same.

2. List again on 29th July, 2024.
3. Let a copy of this order be provided *DASTI* to learned counsel for the parties.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON

[REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG]
MEMBER (A)

/Priya/